

SJEDIŠTE RIJEKA

Kudeji 53 HR-51215 Kastav rijeka@montelektro.hr + 385 51 54 58 10 URED ZAGREB

Marka Marulića 5 HR-10431 Sv. Nedelja zagreb@montelektro.hr + 385 1 39 09 020

# **SECURITY ADVISORY**

CVE-2021-26414 Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass

# Revision history:

	Date	Rev.	Description
23.08.2022. 2 [DFL]: Aveva		2	[DFL]: Aveva/Wonderware status added
	01.08.2022.	1	[DFL]: Initial release



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	IN.	TRODUCTION	3
2.	٧L	JLNERABILITY METRICS	4
	2.1.	BASE METRICS	
	2.2.	VULNERABILITY TEMPORAL METRICS	6
3.	PC	S COMPONENT DEPENDENCY AND STATUS MATRIX	7
4.	PC	S COMPONENTS	8
	4.1.	MONTELEKTRO BATCHME	8
	4.2.	MICROSOFT WINDOWS	g
	4.3.	ProleiT	10
	4.4.	GE DIGITAL	11
	4.5.	SIEMENS	12
	4.6.	ROCKWELL	13
	4.7.	Aveva/Wonderware	15
	4.8.	ENGINEERING TOOLS	15
5.	A۷	AILABLE MITIGATIONS AND FIXES	16
	5.1.	MONTELEKTRO BATCHME	16
	5.2.	MICROSOFT WINDOWS	16
	5.3.	ProleiT	16
	5.4.	GE DIGITAL	16
	5.5.	SIEMENS	16
	5.6.	ROCKWELL	16
	5.7.	AVEVA	16
6.	M	TIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS	17
7.	RF	FFRENCES	18



# 1. INTRODUCTION

A medium vulnerability in Microsoft Windows operating systems identified by *CVE-2021-26414 Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass* has been publicly disclosed by Microsoft at 8<sup>th</sup> of June 2021. This vulnerability requires that a user with an affected version of Windows access a malicious server. An attacker would have to host a specially crafted server share or website. An attacker would have no way to force users to visit this specially crafted server share or website but would have to convince them to visit the server share or website, typically by way of an enticement in an email or chat message.

Montelektro is aware of this vulnerability and of how it could, if exploited, potentially impact our customers' environments. We are continuously monitoring security advisories published by vendors and testing our own components used in IT infra and PCS (Process Control System) solutions.

Microsoft has released security patches targeting the vulnerability on Windows operating systems. Patches significantly change the functionality of part of the operating system, which can affect the functionality of the PCS solution. The activation of the Microsoft patch must therefore be delayed until the vendors of all PCS components publish patches for their components or confirm that the activation of the Microsoft patch does not affect the operation of the component.

This is an ongoing event, please check this advisory for frequent updates as they develop.



# 2. VULNERABILITY METRICS

# 2.1. Base metrics

The Base metric group represents the intrinsic characteristics of a vulnerability that are constant over time and across user environments. It is composed of two sets of metrics: the *Exploitability metrics* and the *Impact metrics*.

The *Exploitability metrics* reflect the ease and technical means by which the vulnerability can be exploited. That is, they represent characteristics of *the thing that is vulnerable*, which we refer to formally as the **vulnerable component**. On the other hand, the *Impact metrics* reflect the direct consequence of a successful exploit and represent the consequence to *the thing that suffers the impact*, which we refer to formally as the **impacted component**.

# Table 1 - Vulnerability Exploitability metrics

### Metric

#### **Attack Vector**

# This metric reflects the context by which vulnerability exploitation is possible. The Base Score increases the more remote (logically, and physically) an attacker can be to exploit the vulnerable component.

## Value Network

The vulnerable component is bound to the network stack and the set of possible attackers extends beyond the other options listed, up to and including the entire Internet. Such a vulnerability is often termed 'remotely exploitable' and can be thought of as an attack being exploitable at the protocol level one or more network hops away (e.g., across one or more routers).

# **Attack Complexity**

This metric describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist to exploit the vulnerability. Such conditions may require the collection of more information about the target or computational exceptions. The assessment of this metric excludes any requirements for user interaction to exploit the vulnerability. If a specific configuration is required for an attack to succeed, the Base metrics should be scored assuming the vulnerable component is in that configuration.

# High

A successful attack depends on conditions beyond the attacker's control. That is, a successful attack cannot be accomplished at will, but requires the attacker to invest in some measurable amount of effort in preparation or execution against the vulnerable component before a successful attack can be expected. For example, a successful attack may require an attacker to: gather knowledge about the environment in which the vulnerable target/component exists; prepare the target environment to improve exploit reliability; or inject themselves into the logical network path between the target and the resource requested by the victim to read and/or modify network communications (e.g., a man in the middle attack).

# **Privileges Required**

This metric describes the level of privileges an attacker must possess before successfully exploiting the vulnerability.

### Low

The attacker is authorized with (i.e., requires) privileges that provide basic user capabilities that could normally affect only settings and files owned by a user. Alternatively, an attacker with Low privileges may have the ability to cause an impact only to non-sensitive resources.

### **User Interaction**

This metric captures the requirement for a user, other than the attacker, to participate in the successful compromise the vulnerable component. This metric determines whether the vulnerability can be exploited solely at the will of the attacker, or whether a separate user (or user-initiated process) must participate in some manner.

### Required

Successful exploitation of this vulnerability requires a user to take some action before the vulnerability can be exploited.

# Scope

Does a successful attack impact a component other than the vulnerable component? If so, the Base Score increases and the Confidentiality, Integrity

# Unchanged

An exploited vulnerability can only affect resources managed by the same security authority. In this case, the vulnerable component and



Metric	Value
and Authentication metrics should be scored	the impacted component are either the same, or both are managed
relative to the impacted component.	by the same security authority.

Metric	Value
Confidentiality impact This metric measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by a software component due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting information access and disclosure to only authorized users, as well as preventing access by, or disclosure to, unauthorized ones.	None There is no loss of confidentiality within the impacted component.
Integrity impact This metric measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of information.	High  There is a total loss of integrity, or a complete loss of protection. Fo example, the attacker can modify any/all files protected by the impacted component. Alternatively, only some files can be modified but malicious modification would present a direct, serious consequence to the impacted component.
Availability impact This metric measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. It refers to the loss of availability of the impacted component itself, such as a networked service (e.g., web, database, email). Since availability refers to the accessibility of information resources, attacks that consume network bandwidth, processor cycles, or disk space all impact the availability of an impacted component.	None There is no impact to availability within the impacted component.
Does a successful attack impact a component other than the vulnerable component? If so, the Base Score increases and the Confidentiality, Integrity and Authentication metrics should be scored relative to the impacted component.	<b>Unchanged</b> An exploited vulnerability can only affect resources managed by the same security authority. In this case, the vulnerable component and the impacted component are either the same, or both are managed by the same security authority.



# 2.2. Vulnerability Temporal metrics

The *Temporal metric* group reflects the characteristics of a vulnerability that may change over time but not across user environments. For example, the presence of a simple-to-use exploit kit would increase the CVSS score, while the creation of an official patch would decrease it.

Table 3 - Vulnerability Temporal metrics

# Metric Value Exploit Code Maturity Unproven This metric measures the likelihood of the vulnerability being attacked and is typically based on the current state of exploit techniques, exploit code availability, or active, 'in-the-wild' exploitation. Remediation Level Official fix

The Remediation Level of a vulnerability is a key factor for prioritization. The typical vulnerability is unpatched when initially published. Workarounds or hotfixes may offer interim remediation until an official patch or upgrade is issued. Each of these respective stages adjusts the temporal score downwards, reflecting the decreasing urgency as remediation becomes final.

A complete vendor solution is available. Either the vendor has issued an official patch, or an upgrade is available.

# **Report Confidence**

This metric measures the degree of confidence in the existence of the vulnerability and the credibility of the known technical details. Sometimes only the existence of vulnerabilities is publicized, but without specific details. For example, an impact may be recognized as undesirable, but the root cause may not be known. The vulnerability may later be corroborated by research which suggests where the vulnerability may lie, though the research may not be certain. Finally, a vulnerability may be confirmed through acknowledgement by the author or vendor of the affected technology. The urgency of a vulnerability is higher when a vulnerability is known to exist with certainty. This metric also suggests the level of technical knowledge available to would-be attackers.

# Confirmed

Detailed reports exist, or functional reproduction is possible (functional exploits may provide this). Source code is available to independently verify the assertions of the research, or the author or vendor of the affected code has confirmed the presence of the vulnerability.



# 3. PCS COMPONENT DEPENDENCY AND STATUS MATRIX

The PCS component dependency matrix is designed for users of our PCS solutions so that they can monitor the exposure status and plan system components patching in timely fashion. For each PCS solution in the matrix, you can find on which components the solution depends and which component requires patch deployment.

The installation of security patches should be postponed until each dependent component can be updated or is declared as unaffected by the component vendor.

Table 4 - PCS component dependency and status matrix

PCS Solution	BatchMe	GE Digital	ProLeiT	Rockwell	Siemens	Windows	Aveva
BatchMe ArchestrA Rockwell PLC	ं	-	-	<b>1</b>	-	•	•
BatchMe ArchestrA Siemens PLC	ं	-	-	-	ୀ	•	•
BatchMe FactoryTalk Rockwell PLC	ं	-	-	•	-	•	-
BatchMe iFix Siemens PLC	ं	•	-	-	ୀ	•	-
BatchMe InTouch Rockwell PLC	ं	-	-	<b>1</b>	-	•	_2
BatchMe InTouch Siemens PLC	ं	-	-	-	<b>○</b> ¹	•	_2
BatchMe WinCC Siemens PLC	ं	-	-	-	•	•	-
ProLeiT brewmaxx Siemens PLC	-	-	•	-	<b>○</b> ¹	•	-
ProLeiT brewmaxx Rockwell PLC	-	-	•	•	-	•	-

- solution does not depend on the component
- o component status currently unknown
- component patching required
- patching required for some component versions
- component patching not required

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only if engineering tools are used

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Only if Historian is used



# 4. PCS COMPONENTS

# 4.1. Montelektro BatchMe

Vulnerability exposure status of Montelektro components in PCS solutions.

Table 5 - Montelektro BatchMe components vulnerability exposure status

Component	Version	Status
Control Module Configurator	CmCfg v3.3.2	Test in progress
Control Module Configuration Downloader	CmCfgDL v3.4.0.1	Test in progress
Control Recipe Editor	CREditor v3.0.1.6654	Test in progress
Configuration Downloader	DownloadMe v3.2.3	Test in progress
Interlock Editor	ILCKEditor v2.3.0	Test in progress
Material Editor	MaterialMe v3.3.4	Test in progress
Recipe Editor	MBatchManager v3.5.1	Test in progress
OPC Connector	OPCConn v3.5.1	Test in progress
OPC Connector UA	OPCConnUA v4.2.13	Test in progress
Production Scheduler	ServeMe v3.1.13	Test in progress
Time-Table Editor	TTEditor v1.0.0.6	Test in progress



# 4.2. Microsoft Windows

Vulnerability exposure status of Microsoft Windows components used in Montelektro PCS solutions.

Table 6 - Microsoft Windows vulnerability exposure status

Product	Version	Security advisory	Status
Windows 10	Windows 10 Enterprise 2019 LTSC	KB5004442 - Manage changes for	Affected
Enterprise	Windows 10 Enterprise and Education, version 1909	Windows DCOM Server Security	
	Windows 10 Enterprise, version 1909	Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)	
Windows 10	Windows 10 IoT Core 2019 LTSC	KB5004442 - Manage changes for	Affected
loT	Windows 10 IoT Enterprise 2019 LTSC	Windows DCOM Server Security	
	Windows 10 IoT Enterprise, version 1909	Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)	
Windows 10	Windows 10, version 1607, all editions	KB5004442 - Manage changes for	Affected
	Windows 10, version 2004, all editions	Windows DCOM Server Security	
	Windows 10, version 20H2, all editions	Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)	
	Windows 10, version 21H1, all editions		
Windows	Windows 7	KB5004442 - Manage changes for	Affected
7/8	Windows 8.1	Windows DCOM Server Security	
		Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)	
Windows	Windows Embedded 8 Standard	KB5004442 - Manage changes for	Affected
Embedded	Windows Embedded 8.1 Industry Enterprise	Windows DCOM Server Security	
	Windows Embedded 8.1 Industry Pro	Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)	
	Windows Embedded POSReady 7 ESU		
	Windows Embedded Standard 7 ESU		
Windows	Windows Server 2008	KB5004442 - Manage changes for	Affected
Server	Windows Server 2008 R2	Windows DCOM Server Security	
	Windows Server 2012	Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)	
	Windows Server 2012 R2		
	Windows Server 2016, all editions		
	Windows Server 2019		
	Windows Server 2022		
	Windows Server version 2004		
	Windows Server, version 20H2, all editions		



# 4.3. ProLeiT

Vulnerability exposure status of ProLeiT components used in Montelektro PCS solutions.

Table 7 - ProLeiT components vulnerability exposure status

Product	Version	Security advisory	Status
Entire portfolio	All versions	Received a statement from ProLeiT Helpdesk service: ProLeiT's system software is certainly not affected by the changes to the DCOM settings published by Microsoft in the above < security notice >. There are also no known project solutions that have used DCOM for customer installations.	Unaffected
		Subject: Proposal for solution for your request "Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414)" // # 92184392 - [ ref:_00DA0abSm5008V1NbF3f:ref ]	
		Smoke test for <i>Microsoft update June</i> 14, 2022 listed as <i>passed</i> at <u>ProLeiT</u> <u>Web site</u> .	
		MS Patches Plant iT / brewmaxx V9.80 (Results) 15/07/2022	
		MS Patches Plant iT / brewmaxx V9.70 (Results) 15/07/2022	
		MS Patches Plant iT / brewmaxx V9.60 (Results) 15/07/2022	



# 4.4. GE Digital

Vulnerability exposure status of GE Digital components used in Montelektro PCS solutions.

Table 8 - GE Digital components vulnerability exposure status

Product	Version	Security advisory	Status
iFix	iFix 2022	Microsoft Windows DCOM Server	Unaffected
		Security Feature Bypass Patch for CVE-	
		<u>2021-26414</u>	
	iFix 6.5	Microsoft Windows DCOM Server	Affected
	iFix 6.1	Security Feature Bypass Patch for CVE-	
	iFix 6.0	2021-26414	
	iFix 5.9		
	iFix 5.8		
Historian	Historian 2022	Microsoft Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass Patch for CVE- 2021-26414	Unaffected
	Historian 9.1	Microsoft Windows DCOM Server	Affected
	Historian 9.0	Security Feature Bypass Patch for CVE-	
	Historian 8.1	2021-26414	
	Historian 8.0		
	Historian 7.2		
	Historian 7.1		
	Historian 7.0		
Industrial Gateway	All versions	Microsoft Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass Patch for CVE-	Unaffected
Server (IGS)		2021-26414	
Server (IGS)		<u>2021-20414</u>	



# 4.5. Siemens

Vulnerability exposure status of Siemens components used in Montelektro PCS solutions.

Table 9 - Siemens components vulnerability exposure status

Version	Security advisory	Status
WinCC V7.3 Upd 9	Which Microsoft patches are	Unaffected
WinCC V7.4 SP1	recommended for operating SIMATIC	
WinCC V7.5	WinCC V7 ("Security Updates", "Critical	
	<u>Updates" and "Definition Updates")?</u>	
WinCC V7.3 prior to Upd 9	Which Microsoft patches are	Affected
WinCC V7.4 prior to SP1	recommended for operating SIMATIC	
	WinCC V7 ("Security Updates", "Critical	
	<u>Updates" and "Definition Updates")?</u>	
	WinCC V7.3 Upd 9 WinCC V7.4 SP1 WinCC V7.5 WinCC V7.3 prior to Upd 9	WinCC V7.3 Upd 9 WinCC V7.4 SP1 WinCC V7.5 WinCC V7.5 WinCC V7.5 WinCC V7.6 WinCC V7.7 WinCC V7.8 prior to Upd 9 WinCC V7.4 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.4 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.5 WinCC V7.6 patches are recommended for operating SIMATIC WinCC V7.7 WinCC V7.8 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.8 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.9 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.8 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.8 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.8 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.9 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.9 prior to SP1 WinCC V7.9 prior to SP1



# 4.6. Rockwell

Affected Rockwell Automation products use *FactoryTalk Services Platform*, *FactoryTalk Live Data*, *OPC-DA*, or are using *Windows APIs* to establish DCOM connections between two computers.

Rockwell Automation products may be directly or indirectly affected by Microsoft's patch. For example:

- ThinManager is **directly** affected because it uses DCOM between the ThinManager UI and a remote ThinServer service
- Studio 5000 Logix Designer is **indirectly** affected because it uses FactoryTalk Services, specifically FactoryTalk Security, and FactoryTalk Services uses DCOM between the FactoryTalk Directory server and FactoryTalk Directory client
- FactoryTalk Product Management is **indirectly** affected because it uses FactoryTalk ProductionCentre, and FactoryTalk ProductionCentre uses FactoryTalk Services and FactoryTalk Live Data

Table 10 – Directly affected Rockwell components

Product	Version	Security advisory
FactoryTalk Services	6.21, 6.20, 6.11, 6.10, 3.00, 2.90	Product Notification 2022-01-001 - Rockwell Automation products
RSLinx Classic	4.21, 4.20, 4.12, 4.11, 4.10, 4.00.01	unable to establish proper DCOM connection after installing
FactoryTalk Linx	6.21, 6.20, 6.11, 6.10, 6.00, 5.90	Microsoft DCOM Hardening patch (CVE-2021-26414)
FactoryTalk Linx Gateway	6.21, 6.20, 6.11, 6.10, 6.00, 3.90	<del>.</del>
FactoryTalk Linx Data Bridge	6.21.01, 6.20, 6.11	
FactoryTalk View Site Edition	12.00, 11.00, 10.00, 9.00	
FactoryTalk ViewPoint	12.00, 11.00, 10.00, 9.00	
FactoryTalk Batch	15.00, 14.00, 13.00.02	
ThinManager	12.01, 12.00, 11.02, 11.01, 11.00	
FactoryTalk ProductionCentre	10.01, 10.02, 10.03, 10.04	
FactoryTalk Transaction Manager	13.10, 13.00, 12.10, 12.00	
FactoryTalk VantagePoint	8.31, 8.30, 8.20, 8.10, 8.00, 7.00	
Pavilion8	5.17.01, 5.17.00, 5.16, 5.15.01, 5.15	
Emonitor Condition Monitoring Software	4.00	
KEPServer Enterprise	All versions	
AADvance OPC Portal	All versions	
AADvance OPC Standalone	All versions	
Trusted OPC Portal	All versions	



Table 11 - Indirectly affected Rockwell components

duct	list		
•	FactoryTalk Policy Manager	Application Code	• Manager • FactoryTalk El Hub
•	FactoryTalk System Services	<ul> <li>FactoryTalk View Edition</li> </ul>	Machine • FactoryTalk PharmaSuite
•	FactoryTalk Linx CommDTM	RSNetWorx	FactoryTalk AutoSuite
•	ControlFLASH	RSLogix 5000	FactoryTalk CPGSuite
•	ControlFLASH Plus	J	FactoryTalk Analytics EdgeM
•	Studio 5000 Logix Designer	RSLogix 500	FactoryTalk Analytics
•	Studio 5000 View Designer	RSLogix 5	DataView
•	Studio 5000 Logix Emulate	FactoryTalk Metr	ics • FactoryTalk Analytics DataFlowML
	<b>.</b>	<ul> <li>FactoryTalk Prod</li> </ul>	uction
•	Studio 5000 Architect	Management	<ul> <li>FactoryTalk Analytics</li> <li>AugmentedModeler</li> </ul>
•	FactoryTalk Logix Echo	<ul> <li>FactoryTalk Qual</li> </ul>	3
	, ,	Management	FactoryTalk Historian -
•	FactoryTalk AssetCentre	J	ThingWorx Connector
	-	<ul> <li>FactoryTalk Ware</li> </ul>	ehouse
_	FactoryTalk Historian SE	Management	<ul> <li>FactoryTalk EnergyMetrix</li> </ul>

# Table 12 - Unaffected Rockwell components

<ul> <li>FactoryTalk Activation</li> </ul>	•	PanelView Plus 6 / 7	•	Connected Components
Manager				Workbench
-	•	PlantPAx MPC		
<ul> <li>FactoryTalk Updater</li> </ul>			•	FactoryTalk Historian ME
	•	PlantPAx Process Object		-
<ul> <li>Studio 5000 Add On Profiles</li> </ul>		Online Configuration Tool		

# Table 13 - End of Life or Discontinued Rockwell components

Product list		
FactoryTalk Performance     Management	RSView 32 (Active Display)	GuardPLC OPC Server



# 4.7. Aveva/Wonderware

Vulnerability exposure status of Aveva/Wonderware components used in Montelektro PCS solutions.

Table 14 – Aveva/Wonderware components vulnerability exposure status

Product	Program	Security advisory	Status
<b>System</b> Application server		System Platform issues with Microsoft	Unaffected
Platform	Common services	Update KB5004442 - DCOM	
2023	Communication drivers	<u>Hardening</u>	
	Historian 2023		
	Historian Client 2023		
	InTouch HMI 2023		
	Licensing services		
System	Application server	System Platform issues with Microsoft	Affected
Platform	Historian	Update KB5004442 - DCOM	
2020		<u>Hardening</u>	
	Historian Client		Unaffected
	InTouch HMI		
System	Application server	System Platform issues with Microsoft	Affected
Platform	Historian	Update KB5004442 - DCOM	
2017		<u>Hardening</u>	
	Historian Client		Unaffected
	InTouch		
System	Application server	System Platform issues with Microsoft	Affected
Platform	Historian	Update KB5004442 - DCOM	
2014		<u>Hardening</u>	
	Historian Client		Unaffected
	InTouch		

# 4.8. Engineering tools

Windows patches on systems using engineering tools like Simatic Manager, RS Logix and similar should not be deployed and activated unless engineering tools are patched or declared as unaffected.

Siemens engineering tools (Simatic Manager, TIA portal) are not explicitly listed as affected by Siemens and Montelektro is performing additional tests and will try to get an official statement from Siemens on this matter. We recommend postponing the Windows patch activation until Siemens officially confirms their engineering tools are not affected.

Rockwell engineering tools are confirmed as indirectly affected; users must make sure patches for used product version are deployed prior to activating the Windows patch.



# 5. AVAILABLE MITIGATIONS AND FIXES

# 5.1. Montelektro BatchMe

Montelektro continues testing the BatchMe components and currently recommends disabling the hardening of DCOM using registry key as described in <u>KB5004442—Manage changes for Windows DCOM Server Security</u> Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414).

# 5.2. Microsoft Windows

Windows patch should be deployed and enabled only after all other affected components are patched.

# 5.3. ProLeiT

ProLeiT systems based on Rockwell PLC use Rockwell components for PLC communication. Rockwell patches for affected components must be deployed before the Windows patch is deployed and enabled.

Microsoft Windows in ProLeiT systems based on Siemens PLC are considered safe to patch.

# 5.4. GE Digital

Detailed patching guidelines are available at GE website: <u>Microsoft Windows DCOM Server Security Feature</u>
<u>Bypass Patch for CVE-2021-26414</u>

# 5.5. Siemens

Detailed patching guidelines are available at Siemens website: Which Microsoft patches are recommended for operating SIMATIC WinCC V7 ("Security Updates", "Critical Updates" and "Definition Updates")?, chapter Notes on Windows DCOM Server hardening measures (KB5004442).

# 5.6. Rockwell

Detailed patching guidelines are available at Rockwell website: Microsoft DCOM Hardening Information TOC.

# 5.7. Aveva

Workaround for affected Aveva products available at Aveva website: <u>System Platform issues with Microsoft Update KB5004442 - DCOM Hardening</u>. AVEVA continues testing and the information in this Alert is subject to change.



# 6. MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS

As a general security measure, Montelektro strongly recommends protecting network access to devices with appropriate mechanisms.

Workaround deployment on affected systems which are not exposed to the outside world should be postponed until final patch is released by the vendor.

Patch planning and administration guidelines from <u>Process Control System – IT maintenance and security Whitepaper</u> should be considered during the patch deployment.

Since available Windows patch significantly affects multiple PCS components, Windows patch should not be activated until all affected components are fully patched according to the vendor guidelines.

An active SLA contract can be used to support the installation of the patch on components supplied by Montelektro.



# 7. REFERENCES

- AVEVA. (2022, Jume 29). System Platform issues with Microsoft Update KB5004442 DCOM Hardening. Retrieved from Knowledge and Support center: https://softwaresupportsp.aveva.com/#/okmimarticle/docid/ta000032813
- FIRST. (2021, July 25). Common Vulnerability Scoring System v3.0: Specification Document. Retrieved from Forum of Incident Response and Security Teams: https://www.first.org/cvss/v3.0/specification-document
- GE. (2022, June 09). Microsoft Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass Patch for CVE-2021-26414. Retrieved from GE Customer Center: https://digitalsupport.ge.com/communities/en\_US/Article/Microsoft-Windows-DCOM-Server-Security-Feature-Bypass-Patch-for-CVE-2021-26414
- Microsoft. (2022, June 24). *KB5004442 Manage changes for Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass (CVE-2021-26414*). Retrieved from Windows support: https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/topic/kb5004442-manage-changes-for-windows-dcom-server-security-feature-bypass-cve-2021-26414-f1400b52-c141-43d2-941e-37ed901c769c
- Microsoft. (2022, June 28). Security Vulnerability CVE-2021-26414 Windows DCOM Server Security Feature Bypass.

  Retrieved from Microsoft Security Response Center (MSRC): https://msrc.microsoft.com/update-guide/en-US/vulnerability/CVE-2021-26414
- Montelektro. (2019, July). *Process Control System IT maintenance and security Whitepaper*. Retrieved from Montelektro Web site: https://www.montelektro.hr/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/KB1007-Process-Control-System-IT-maintenance-and-security-.pdf
- ProLeiT. (2022, July 27). *Recommended Microsoft Patches*. Retrieved from ProLeiT Web site: https://www.proleit.com/support/mspatches/
- Rockwell Automation. (2022, June 06). Mitigating Microsoft DCOM Hardening Patch (CVE-2021-26414) for Affected Rockwell Automation Products. Retrieved from Rockwell Automation Web site:

  https://rockwellautomation.custhelp.com/app/answers/answer\_view/a\_id/1134040/~/mitigating-microsoft-dcom-hardening-patch-(cve-2021-26414)-for-affected
- Rockwell Automation. (2022, July 19). Product Notification 2022-01-001 Rockwell Automation products unable to establish proper DCOM connection after installing Microsoft DCOM Hardening patch (CVE-2021-26414).

  Retrieved from Rockwell Automation Web site:

  https://rockwellautomation.custhelp.com/app/answers/answer\_view/a\_id/1133982
- Rockwell Automation. (2022, June 20). Rockwell Automation Product Patches for Microsoft DCOM Hardening (CVE-2021-26414) TOC . Retrieved from Rockwell Automation Web site: https://rockwellautomation.custhelp.com/app/answers/answer\_view/a\_id/1134041/~/rockwell-automation-product-patches-for-microsoft-dcom-hardening
- Siemens. (2022, February 16). Which Microsoft patches are recommended for operating SIMATIC WinCC V7 ("Security Updates", "Critical Updates" and "Definition Updates")? Retrieved from Industry online support Product support: https://support.industry.siemens.com/cs/document/18752994/which-microsoft-patches-are-recommended-for-operating-simatic-wincc-v7-(-security-updates-critical-updates-and-definition-updates-)-?dti=0&lc=en-US